

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,467	09/17/2001		Henry J. Riblet	81451CIP	9032
28452	7590	07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
		OCIATES, P.A.	KING, ANITA M		
835 HANOVER STREET SUITE 303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MANCHESTER, NH 03104				3632	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
		09/955,467	RIBLET, HENRY J.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anita M. King	3632	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	•	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-11,20 and 21</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-11,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accelerate any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/955,467

Art Unit: 3632

This is a non-final office action for application number 09/955,467, Bracket Assembly Lock, filed on September 17, 2001.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 18, 2005 has been entered.

## Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the terms "tip" in line 3 of claim 9 and "rasps" in line 3 of claim 10.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 7-11, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,467

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the transverse cross-sectional area" bridging lines 15-16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said outside bar" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said outer bar" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the transverse cross-sectional area" in line 18. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 21 recites the limitation "the transverse cross-sectional area" bridging lines 18-19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 2,854,293 to Riblet in view of U.S. Patent 2,737,363 to Horst. Riblet discloses the combination of a metal upright (1, Col. 3, line 6ff), the metal upright having a first surface; a lock (3) for mounting an angle bracket on the metal upright, the lock comprising a pair of side members, an outer jaw (5) and an inner jaw (6) coupled to the pair of side members, and a fulcrum bar (7) which is adapted to couple the pair of side members to the angle bracket, the pair of side members being capable of pivoting relative to the angle bracket about the fulcrum bar; at least one of the inner jaw and the outer jaw having a

Application/Control Number: 09/955,467

Art Unit: 3632

contact surface which is adapted to contact the first surface of the metal upright over a planar region, the at least one of the jaws being capable of pivoting relative to the side members and the angle bracket.

Riblet discloses the claimed invention except for the limitations of at least one of the inner and outer jaws having a substantially flat contact surface and a transverse cross-sectional area of the at least one jaw having a height and a thickness, the height being greater than the thickness. Horst teaches a clamping lock (11) attachable to an upright (27, 28), the lock having side members (14 & 21), an outer jaw (16, right side), an inner jaw (16, left side) coupled to the side members, at least one of the inner jaw and the outer jaw comprising a substantially flat contact surface (20) which is adapted to contact a first surface of the upright over a planar region, the at least one jaw being capable of pivoting relative to the side members, and a transverse cross-sectional area of the at least one jaw having a height and a thickness, the height being greater than the thickness. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the lock in Riblet to have included the lock as taught by Horst for the purpose of providing an increased gripping force on the upright.

Riblet combined with Horst disclose the claimed invention except for the limitation of the upright being constructed of fiberglass. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the upright in Riblet to have been constructed of fiberglass since such a modification merely involves substituting one well known material for another based on the materials suitability for the

intended use and since there is no criticality provided that would produce any unexpected result.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-4 and 7-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5 and 6 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent 1,832,394 to Holmboe
- U.S. Patent 3,065,984 to Holmboe
- U.S. Patent 6,419,201 to Hughes, Jr. et al.

Art Unit: 3632

Holmboe (both reference) discloses an adjustable shore bracket having inner and outer jaws. Hughes, Jr. et al. disclose an sleeve constructed of fiberglass.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-6817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita M. King / Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3632

July 22, 2005